

# Improved Recoveries for GC/MS/MS Analysis of Pesticide Residues in Cannabis

Using Supel™ OuE Verde for OuEChERS and SLB®-5ms GC Column

One potential approach for the determination of pesticide residues in cannabis is the use of the "quick, easy, cheap, effective, rugged and safe" (QuEChERS) method outlined in AOAC official method 2007.01. In this application, QuEChERS was used in the extraction of pesticides from dried cannabis. For cleanup of the extracts, two different sorbent mixes were evaluated.

## **Experimental**

Dried cannabis was supplied courtesy of Dr. Hari H. Singh, Program Director at the Chemistry and Physiological Systems Research Branch of the National Institute on Drug Abuse at the National Institute of Health. The sample was ground to a fine powder and extracted following the procedure outlined in the conditions section of Figure 1. The resulting acetonitrile extract was cleaned using two different sorbents: (1) PSA/C18/ENVI-Carb™ and (2) Supel™ QuE Verde. Unspiked and spiked (50 ng/g) samples were prepared. Analysis of the final extracts was done by GC/MS/MS using the GC conditions listed in **Figure 1**. Quantitation was performed against multi-point, matrix-matched calibration curves prepared in unspiked cannabis extract. Separate curves were prepared for each cleanup sorbent.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### **Matrix Removal**

Figure 2 shows cannabis extracts before and after each cleanup. As expected, the PSA/C18/ENVI-Carb™ sorbent mixture removed the most color. The Supel™ QuE Verde mix removed the green from the cannabis extract, leaving only yellow pigments, and a much lighter colored extract than no cleanup. Extracts were analyzed by GC/MS in full scan mode to evaluate background (Figures 1 a-c). The TIC patterns of the extracts, cleaned and uncleaned, appear similar. However, there are some differences in peak amplitude between the chromatograms, and if the sum of the peak areas in the TICs are compared, it is evident that the lowest background was obtained using Supel™ QuE Verde cleanup.

Figure 2. QuEChERS Extracts of Cannabis With and Without Cleanup

detector: MSD, full scan, m/z 45-500

injection: 1 µL, splitless (splitter open at 0.75 min)








Supel™ QuE Verde

PSA/C18/ENVI-Carb™


column: SLB®-5ms, 30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm I.D., 0.25  $\mu$ m (28471-U) oven: 50 °C (2 min), 8 °C/min to 320 °C (5 min)

liner: 4 mm I.D., split/splitless type, single taper wool packed FocusLiner™ design (2879901-U)


No Cleanup




20



0.00E-








20

sample/matrix: ground cannabis, 1 g

sample pretreatment: add 10 mL water to sample, allow to sit for 30 min

Figure 3. Comparison of Pesticide Recovery and Reproducibility After Cleanup, for Spiked Cannabis Samples (n-3)



## **Recovery and Reproducibility**

The average recoveries obtained from 50 ng/g spiked cannabis samples are summarized in Figure 3. Recoveries were generally better using the Supel™ OuE Verde cleanup for many of the pesticides, especially the pyrethroid pesticides and triazole fungicides. The organophosphorus pesticides showed mixed results, with some such as chloropyrifos showing better recovery from Supel™ QuE Verde, and others such as phosmet showing better recovery using PSA/C18/ENVI-Carb™. Quinoxyfen showed very poor recovery after cleanup with PSA/C18/ENVI-Carb™. This compound has some planar character to its structure, which resulted in strong retention on the ENVI-Carb™, and thus reduced recovery. The pesticide synergist piperonyl butoxide, of interest in relation to cannabis production, showed >70% recovery using both cleanups, but better recovery after Supel™ QuE Verde compared to PSA/ C18/ENVI-Carb™ cleanup. Reproducibility, determined as % RSD for n=3 spiked replicates, was generally very good for both cleanups. The exceptions were tetramethrin and cypermethrin, which had RSD values >20% after PSA/C18/ENVI-Carb™ cleanup.

## **Conclusions**

As demonstrated here, QuEChERS is a viable approach for the analysis of pesticide residues in cannabis. Many compounds of interest, including piperonyl butoxide, show good recovery and reproducibility by this extraction technique. For cleanup of QuEChERS extracts of cannabis, the use of graphitized carbon is recommended for removal of chlorophyll. However, as

evidenced by the recovery of quinoxyfen in **Figure 3**, traditional GCBs such as ENVI-Carb™ will reduce the recovery of pesticides with planar structures. Supel™ QuE Verde, which contains PSA, Z-Sep+ and an improved GCB, can be used to reduce pigmentation while improving recoveries of these compounds.

Compared to conventional PSA/C18/GCB cleanup, cannabis extracts cleaned with the Supel™ QuE Verde mixture showed lower GC/MS background, and better recoveries for many pesticides.

## **Featured and Related Products**

Description	Qty/pk	Cat. No.
Supel™ QuE QuEChERS Products		
Verde Cleanup Tube, 2 mL	100	55447-U
PSA/C18/ENVI-Carb™ (AOAC) Tube, 2 mL	100	55289-U
Citrate Extraction Tube, 12 mL	50	55227-U
Empty Centrifuge Tube, 50 mL	50	55248-U
Capillary GC Column		
SLB®-5ms, 30 m $\times$ 0.25 mm I.D., 0.25 $\mu$ m		28471-U
Standards		
A complete listing of pesticide standards is available at SigmaAldrich.com/standards		
Solvent		
Acetonitrile for HPLC > 99.9%		34851

Visit our cannabis testing resources at **SigmaAldrich.com/cannabis** 

## To place an order or receive technical assistance

Order/Customer Service: SigmaAldrich.com/order Technical Service: SigmaAldrich.com/techservice Safety-related Information: SigmaAldrich.com/safetycenter



